

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION**

<b>INNOVATIVE GLOBAL SYSTEMS LLC, §</b>	<b>§</b>	
	<b>§</b>	
<b>v.</b>	<b>§</b>	<b>NO. 6:09-cv-157</b>
	<b>§</b>	
<b>TURNPIKE GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES §</b>	<b>§</b>	
<b>L.L.C., et al.</b>	<b>§</b>	

**ORDER**

This provisional claim construction opinion construes the disputed terms in U.S. Patent Nos. 6,411,203 (“the ‘203 Patent”), 6,608,544 (“the ‘554 Patent”), 6,744,352 (“the ‘352 Patent”), 7,015,800 (“the ‘800 Patent”), and 7,449,993 (“the ‘993 Patent”) (collectively, “the patents-in-suit”). The Court will issue a Memorandum Opinion and Order, including a full analysis of the disputed claim terms, at a later date. The Court may modify this provisional construction when it issues the Memorandum Opinion and Order. All deadlines in the Docket Control Order dependent upon the Court’s *Markman* opinion are calculated from the date this order is signed. This order is intended to serve as a guideline and framework with which the parties may proceed with litigation.

**BACKGROUND**

Plaintiff Innovative Global Systems LLC (“Plaintiff”) alleges Defendant Cadec Global Inc. (“Defendant”) infringes the patents-in-suit. The parties have presented their claim construction positions (Doc. Nos. 114, 121, 126) and submitted a joint technology tutorial (Doc. No. 124-1). On May 27, 2010, the Court held a claim construction hearing and heard argument.

**DISCUSSION**

The parties have agreed to the constructions of the terms “connector” and “a vehicle data communications protocol converter connected to said plurality of electrical conductors.” The Court provisionally adopts the parties’ agreed constructions. Accordingly, “connector” is provisionally

construed as “an instrumentality linking components.” The Court provisionally finds “a vehicle data communications protocol converter connected to said plurality of electrical conductors” is not governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 6 and does not need a construction.

The Court provisionally construes the following disputed terms:

<b>Term</b>	<b>Plaintiff’s Proposed Construction</b>	<b>Defendant’s Proposed Construction</b>
<b>First Data Communications Protocol</b> <i>‘203 Patent, Claims 1, 6, 11</i> <i>‘554 Patent, Claims 1, 6, 11</i> <i>‘352 Patent, Claim 11</i> <i>‘800 Patent, Claims 1, 10, 12</i> <i>‘993 Patent, Claims 1, 7, 8, 15, 20</i>	data encoded in a communication protocol associated with the vehicle, for example, J1708 or J1939	a set of rules governing the treatment and format of communications to and from vehicle electronic subsystems
<b>First Vehicle Data Communications Protocol</b> <i>‘203 Patent, Claim 24</i> <i>‘554 Patent, Claim 24</i> <i>‘352 Patent, Claim 55</i> <i>‘800 Patent, Claim 25</i> <i>‘993 Patent, Claims 15, 20</i>		

The Court provisionally construes this term as “data encoded in a communication protocol associated with the vehicle.”

<b>Term</b>	<b>Plaintiff’s Proposed Construction</b>	<b>Defendant’s Proposed Construction</b>
<b>Vehicle Data Communications Protocol Converter</b> <i>‘554 Patent, Claim 11</i> <i>‘352 Patent, Claims 1, 11</i> <i>‘993 Patent, Claims 1, 8, 11</i>	“vehicle” has its plain and ordinary meaning  “data communications protocol converter” should be construed as “a device that converts data encoded in one protocol into data encoded in another protocol”	a device capable of converting one set of rules governing the treatment and format of vehicle data communications to another set of rules governing the treatment and format of vehicle data communications

The Court provisionally construes this term as “a device that converts data encoded in one protocol into data encoded in another protocol.”

<b>Term</b>	<b>Plaintiff’s Proposed Construction</b>	<b>Defendant’s Proposed Construction</b>
<b>Convert/Converting</b> <i>‘203 Patent, Claims 1, 11, 24</i> <i>‘554 Patent, Claims 1, 11, 24</i> <i>‘352 Patent, Claims 11, 55</i> <i>‘800 Patent, Claims 1, 12, 25</i> <i>‘993 Patent, Claims 1, 8, 15</i>	re-encoding data in one protocol to another protocol	Defendant does not believe this term requires construction. However, Defendant does not agree with Plaintiff’s proposal and believes the construction of “first data communications protocol” and “second data communications protocol” adequately address this dispute.

The Court provisionally finds construction of these terms unnecessary.

<b>Term</b>	<b>Plaintiff’s Proposed Construction</b>	<b>Defendant’s Proposed Construction</b>
<b>Data</b> <i>‘203 Patent, Claims 1, 8, 11, 24</i> <i>‘554 Patent, Claims 1, 11, 24</i> <i>‘352 Patent, Claim 11, 55</i> <i>‘800 Patent, Claims 1, 12, 25</i> <i>‘993 Patent, Claims 1 and throughout</i>	information originating from or directed to a system of a vehicle and relating to operation, monitoring, or control of the system	information collected from one or more vehicle electronic subsystems

The Court provisionally construes this term as “information originating from or directed to a system of a vehicle and relating to operation, monitoring, or control of the system.”

<b>Term</b>	<b>Plaintiff’s Proposed Construction</b>	<b>Defendant’s Proposed Construction</b>
-------------	--	--

<b>Second Data Communications Protocol</b> <i>'554 Patent, Claims 1, 11, 12, 24, 25</i> <i>'352 Patent, Claims 11, 55, 56</i> <i>'993 Patent, Claims 1, 5, 8, 12, 15, 19</i>  <b>Second RF Data Communication Protocol</b> <i>'203 Patent, Claims 1, 11, 12, 24, 25</i> <i>'554 Patent, Claim 29</i> <i>'800 Patent, Claims 1, 12, 13, 25, 26</i>  <b>Wireless Data Communications Protocol</b> <i>'993 Patent, Claims 5, 12, 19</i>	data encoded in a [RF] communication protocol suitable for transmission between the vehicle and a remote location whether or not the vehicle is in operation	a set of rules governing the treatment and format of wireless communications limited to close proximity of a vehicle
---	--	--

The Court provisionally construes these terms as “data encoded in a [RF] communication protocol suitable for transmission between the vehicle and a remote location.”

<b>Term</b>	<b>Plaintiff’s Proposed Construction</b>	<b>Defendant’s Proposed Construction</b>
<b>Remote Data Communications Terminal</b> <i>'203 Patent, Claims 1, 11, 12, 24, 25</i> <i>'554 Patent, Claims 1, 11, 12, 24, 25</i> <i>'352 Patent, Claim 11, 55, 56</i>	data communications terminal that is remote from vehicle whether or not the vehicle is in operation	a set of rules governing the treatment and format of communications to and from vehicle electronic subsystems

The Court provisionally construes this term as “data communications terminal that is remote from vehicle.”

<b>Term</b>	<b>Plaintiff’s Proposed Construction</b>	<b>Defendant’s Proposed Construction</b>
-------------	--	--

<b>Transceiver</b> <i>'203 Patent, Claims 1, 11</i> <i>'554 Patent, Claims 1, 11, 12</i> <i>'352 Patent, Claim 11</i> <i>'800 Patent, Claims 1, 12</i>	No construction  Alternatively, "a device that transmits and receives"	a device that transmits and receives wireless communications limited to a close proximity of a vehicle
--	--	--

The Court provisionally construes this term as "a device that transmits and receives."

<b>Term</b>	<b>Plaintiff's Proposed Construction</b>	<b>Defendant's Proposed Construction</b>
<b>Connected [to]</b> <i>'203 Patent, Claims 1, 8, 11, 12, 24</i> <i>'554 Patent, Claims 1, 7, 8, 11, 12, 14</i> <i>'352 Patent, Claim 12, 17</i> <i>'800 Patent, Claims 1, 11, 12, 13, 15</i> <i>'993 Patent, Claims 1, 2, 8, 9</i>	linked together physically, communicatively, electrically, or logically	directly, physically linked to

The Court provisionally finds construction of this term unnecessary.

<b>Term</b>	<b>Plaintiff's Proposed Construction</b>	<b>Defendant's Proposed Construction</b>
<b>Operatively Connect[ed][ing]</b> <i>'993 Patent, Claims 2, 9, 16</i>	linked together in such a way that operation of one can affect the other	electronically linked to, directly or indirectly

The Court provisionally construes this term as "linked together in such a way that operation of one can affect the other."

<b>Term</b>	<b>Plaintiff's Proposed Construction</b>	<b>Defendant's Proposed Construction</b>
<b>Heavy Duty Vehicle</b> <i>'203 Patent, Claims 1, 24</i> <i>'352 Patent, Claim 17</i> <i>'800 Patent, Claim 1</i>	No construction  Alternatively, "a vehicle having a gross vehicle weight rating of greater than 8500 pounds, or curb weight of	a tractor and a connected trailer

	more than 6000 pounds”	
--	------------------------	--

The Court provisionally finds construction of this term unnecessary.

<b>Term</b>	<b>Plaintiff’s Proposed Construction</b>	<b>Defendant’s Proposed Construction</b>
<b>Vehicle Data Communications Protocol Converting Means</b> <i>‘203 Patent, Claims 1, 11</i> <i>‘554 Patent, Claim 1</i> <i>‘800 Patent, Claims 1, 12</i>	<p>“vehicle” has its plain and ordinary meaning</p> <p>“data communications protocol converting means” should be construed as “a circuit or microprocessor that converts data encoded in one protocol to data encoded in another protocol and structural equivalents thereof.”</p>	<p>The term is governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 6.</p> <p>Function: Converting a first data communications protocol associated with data communications along the plurality of electrical conductors to a second data communications protocol</p> <p>Structure: An RS-485 transceiver; a signal booster, preferably provided by amplification circuitry and/or power boosting circuitry; and any one or more of: J1708 to RS-485 converter, RS-485 to J1708 converter, RS-485 to IrDA converter, IrDA to RS-485 converter, RS-485 to RF converter, RF to RS-485 convert, and structural equivalents.</p>

The Court provisionally finds this term is governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 6. The Court finds the claimed function is “converting a first data communications protocol associated with data communications along the plurality of electrical conductors to a second data communications protocol” and the corresponding structure is “any one or more of: J1708 to RS-485 converter, RS-

485 to J1708 converter, RS-485 to IrDA converter, IrDA to RS-485 converter, RS-485 to RF converter, RF to RS-485 converter, and structural equivalents.”

<b>Term</b>	<b>Plaintiff’s Proposed Construction</b>	<b>Defendant’s Proposed Construction</b>
<p><b>Means connected to said vehicle data communications protocol converter for transmitting the second data communications protocol from said vehicle, and for receiving the second data communications protocol from a remote data communications terminal</b>  <i>’992 Patent, Claims 1, 8</i></p>	<p>transceiver and structural equivalents thereof</p>	<p>The term is governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 6.</p> <p>Function: Transmitting the second data communications protocol from said vehicle and receiving the second data communications protocol from a remote data communications terminal</p> <p>Structure: IrDA compliant integrated circuit;  built-in infrared transceiver 35, such as an infrared light emitting diode and an infrared photodetector or photodiode, an infrared transceiver or emitter/detector pair;  a transceiver, which preferably includes a plurality of infrared light emitter or light emitting diodes, a plurality of infrared photodiodes, and associated drive and amplification circuitry;  a physical layer signal processing transceiver, infrared or radio frequency, including a combination transmitter and receiver, and structural equivalents.</p>

The Court provisionally finds this term is governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 6. The Court finds the claimed function is “transmitting the second data communications protocol from said vehicle and receiving the second data communications protocol from a remote data communications terminal” and the corresponding structure is “a transceiver, and structural equivalents.”

**CONCLUSION**

The Court sets forth the foregoing constructions on a provisional basis. The Court reserves the right to modify this provisional construction when it issues a Memorandum Opinion and Order. For the ease of reference, the Court’s claim interpretations are set forth in tables attached to this order as Appendix A.

**So ORDERED and SIGNED this 4th day of June, 2010.**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JOHN D. LOVE  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

## APPENDIX A

Term	Court's Construction
<b>First Data Communications Protocol</b> <i>'203 Patent, Claims 1, 6, 11</i> <i>'554 Patent, Claims 1, 6, 11</i> <i>'352 Patent, Claim 11</i> <i>'800 Patent, Claims 1, 10, 12</i> <i>'993 Patent, Claims 1, 7, 8, 15, 20</i>  <b>First Vehicle Data Communications Protocol</b> <i>'203 Patent, Claim 24</i> <i>'554 Patent, Claim 24</i> <i>'352 Patent, Claim 55</i> <i>'800 Patent, Claim 25</i> <i>'993 Patent, Claims 15, 20</i>	data encoded in a communication protocol associated with the vehicle
<b>Vehicle Data Communications Protocol Converter</b> <i>'554 Patent, Claim 11</i> <i>'352 Patent, Claims 1, 11</i> <i>'993 Patent, Claims 1, 8, 11</i>	a device that converts data encoded in one protocol into data encoded in another protocol
<b>Convert/Converting</b> <i>'203 Patent, Claims 1, 11, 24</i> <i>'554 Patent, Claims 1, 11, 24</i> <i>'352 Patent, Claims 11, 55</i> <i>'800 Patent, Claims 1, 12, 25</i> <i>'993 Patent, Claims 1, 8, 15</i>	No Construction
<b>Data</b>	information originating from or directed to a system of a vehicle

'203 Patent, Claims 1, 8, 11, 24 '554 Patent, Claims 1, 11, 24 '352 Patent, Claim 11, 55 '800 Patent, Claims 1, 12, 25 '993 Patent, Claims 1 and throughout	and relating to operation, monitoring, or control of the system
<b>Second Data Communications Protocol</b> '554 Patent, Claims 1, 11, 12, 24, 25 '352 Patent, Claims 11, 55, 56 '993 Patent, Claims 1, 5, 8, 12, 15, 19  <b>Second RF Data Communication Protocol</b> '203 Patent, Claims 1, 11, 12, 24, 25 '554 Patent, Claim 29 '800 Patent, Claims 1, 12, 13, 25, 26  <b>Wireless Data Communications Protocol</b> '993 Patent, Claims 5, 12, 19	data encoded in a [RF] communication protocol suitable for transmission between the vehicle and a remote location
<b>Remote Data Communications Terminal</b> '203 Patent, Claims 1, 11, 12, 24, 25 '554 Patent, Claims 1, 11, 12, 24, 25 '352 Patent, Claim 11, 55, 56	a device that transmits and receives
<b>Connected [to]</b> '203 Patent, Claims 1, 8, 11, 12, 24 '554 Patent, Claims 1, 7, 8, 11, 12, 14 '352 Patent, Claim 12, 17 '800 Patent, Claims 1, 11, 12, 13, 15 '993 Patent, Claims 1, 2, 8, 9	No Construction

<b>Operatively Connect[ed][ing]</b> <i>'993 Patent, Claims 2, 9, 16</i>	linked together in such a way that operation of one can affect the other
<b>Heavy Duty Vehicle</b> <i>'203 Patent, Claims 1, 24</i> <i>'352 Patent, Claim 17</i> <i>'800 Patent, Claim 1</i>	No Construction
<b>Vehicle Data Communications Protocol Converting Means</b> <i>'203 Patent, Claims 1, 11</i> <i>'554 Patent, Claim 1</i> <i>'800 Patent, Claims 1, 12</i>	Function: converting a first data communications protocol associated with data communications along the plurality of electrical conductors to a second data communications protocol  Structure: any one or more of: J1708 to RS-485 converter, RS-485 to J1708 converter, RS-485 to IrDA converter, IrDA to RS-485 converter, RS-485 to RF converter, RF to RS-485 converter, and structural equivalents.
<b>Means connected to said vehicle data communications protocol converter for transmitting the second data communications protocol from said vehicle, and for receiving the second data communications protocol from a remote data communications terminal</b> <i>'992 Patent, Claims 1, 8</i>	Function: transmitting the second data communications protocol from said vehicle and receiving the second data communications protocol from a remote data communications terminal  Structure: a transceiver, and structural equivalents